

Comparison of EWT and OVMD Techniques for Left and Right Hand Motor Imagery Classification in EEG Signals

Patryk Zych, Paweł Śniatała
Institute of Computing Science
Faculty of Computing and Telecommunications
Poznan University of Technology
Piotrowo 2, 61-138 Poznań, Poland
Email: patryk.zych@put.poznan.pl, pawel.sniatala@put.poznan.pl

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Neuroengineering advancements in non-invasive brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) offer transformative potential for stroke-related neurorehabilitation by leveraging event-related desynchronization/synchronization (ERD/ERS) to provide closed-loop neurofeedback to patients [1]–[5]. A critical challenge in these systems is the non-stationary nature of EEG signals, which necessitates adaptive signal decomposition to isolate task-relevant rhythms effectively. This study evaluates the empirical wavelet transform (EWT) [6] against the recently developed orthogonalized variational mode decomposition (OVMD) [7] using the BCI Competition IV Dataset 2b. Two distinct processing pipelines were implemented: a spatial-spectral framework using filter bank common spatial pattern (FBCSP) and an instantaneous features extraction. In the first pipeline, signals are decomposed into 10 adaptive modes to define a filter bank, followed by spatial filtering and feature selection via mutual information-based best individual feature (MIBIF) [8]. In the second pipeline, the Hilbert Transform (HT) is applied to the highest-power mode to extract instantaneous amplitude (IA) and frequency (IF), along with standard and higher-order statistics (HOS) [9].

The results, detailed in Table I, demonstrate a significant performance split based on the chosen decomposition technique and feature domain. EWT shows superior synergy with spatial filtering, achieving a cross-validation (CV) accuracy of 0.718 and a test accuracy of 0.776. Conversely, OVMD provides more stable results for instantaneous signal analysis, outperforming EWT in the HT pipeline. Individual variability remains a significant factor, for instance, subject B04 reached 95.6% accuracy with EWT, whereas subject B05 performed notably better under the OVMD-HT approach. While linear classifiers like SVM and LDA confirm that the feature spaces are generally linearly separable, the high inter-subject variance emphasizes that the choice of decomposition must be tailored

to the specific user's neurophysiological profile. Ultimately, EWT remains the standard for spatial-spectral discrimination, while OVMD is a powerful alternative for tracking phase and amplitude dynamics in localized event waves.

TABLE I
CV AND TEST PERFORMANCE (ACCURACY / F1-SCORE)

Pipeline - Method	CV (ACC / F1)	Test (ACC / F1)
FBCSP - EWT	0.718 / 0.716	0.776 / 0.773
FBCSP - OVMD	0.621 / 0.619	0.600 / 0.579
HT - EWT	0.660 / 0.658	0.614 / 0.578
HT - OVMD	0.648 / 0.643	0.653 / 0.626

REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Wolpaw, N. Birbaumer, D. J. McFarland, G. Pfurtscheller, and T. M. Vaughan, "Brain-computer interfaces for communication and control," *Clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 767–791, 2002.
- [2] R. P. N. Rao, *Brain-computer interfacing: an introduction*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [3] R. Ortner, D. Irimia, J. Scharinger, and C. Guger, "A motor imagery based brain-computer interface for stroke rehabilitation," *Studies in health technology and informatics*, vol. 181, pp. 319–23, 09 2012.
- [4] S. Sieghartsleitner, M. Sebastián-Romagosa, R. Ortner, W. Cho, and C. Guger, "Bcis for stroke rehabilitation," in *Brain-Computer Interfaces*. Elsevier, 2025, pp. 131–150.
- [5] S. Sieghartsleitner, M. Sebastián-Romagosa, L. Schreiner, J. Grünwald, W. Cho, R. Ortner, S. Tanackovic, J. Scharinger, and C. Guger, "Analysis of cortical excitability during brain-computer interface stroke rehabilitation of upper and lower extremity," in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Metrology for eXtended Reality, Artificial Intelligence and Neural Engineering (MetroXRINE)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1106–1111.
- [6] J. Gilles, "Empirical wavelet transform," *IEEE transactions on signal processing*, vol. 61, no. 16, pp. 3999–4010, 2013.
- [7] H. Marbona, D. Rodríguez, A. Martínez-Cava, and E. Valero, "Orthogonalized variational mode decomposition," *Signal Processing*, p. 110251, 2025.
- [8] K. K. Ang, Z. Y. Chin, C. Wang, C. Guan, and H. Zhang, "Filter bank common spatial pattern algorithm on bci competition iv datasets 2a and 2b," *Frontiers in neuroscience*, vol. 6, p. 39, 2012.
- [9] M. T. Sadiq, X. Yu, Z. Yuan, Z. Fan, A. U. Rehman, G. Li, and G. Xiao, "Motor imagery eeg signals classification based on mode amplitude and frequency components using empirical wavelet transform," *IEEE access*, vol. 7, pp. 127 678–127 692, 2019.